

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,806	EONNET, YVES	

**All Participants:**

(1) MARCOS BATISTA.

**Status of Application:** Response after non-final action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lance Lieberman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10/28/2008

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential 112nd to claims 1 and 9*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner and Lance Lieberman discussed a proposed amendment to claims 1 and 9. The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 9 reads as follows: On lines 2, 5 and 6 of claim 1 and lines 1 and 6 of claim 9, "type" has been deleted after "Internet."*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)